

Danforth - comm for electricity\_transport.txt

Subject:  
comm for electricity/transport  
From:  
James <jdanforth001@san.rr.com>  
Date:  
Wed, 07 Nov 2007 07:36:38 -0800  
To:  
schurch@arb.ca.gov

Steve,

I work in the technology field over 10 years.  
I just had one simple comment for consideration.

A well known scientist at a large lab discussed recently at length  
what it will take to sequester carbon dioxide en masse. A fine discussion  
I might add. I got me to thinking....

I believe people need to consider the danger of a carbon sequestering  
route, particularly because the O<sub>2</sub> in CO<sub>2</sub> was formerly free in the atmosphere,  
And it makes more sense that CO<sub>2</sub> should be broken into  
its constituent parts, and the C used in products, and the O<sub>2</sub> released  
Back into the atmosphere where it came from.

O<sub>2</sub> releases into the atmosphere help reduce CO<sub>2</sub> levels in the atmosphere.

Separating the two economically is a challenge, but I think that's a more  
valuable undertaking than burying our problem (CO<sub>2</sub>).

Thanks for your valuable time!

James Danforth, COO  
iProlog Corporation  
858-208-8389